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The length of text in each box is free. Questions included in each box are not exclusive nor should they always be answered separately; they are meant to provide a general outline of matters that should be addressed by the Committee when formulating its comments.

## Introduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. The External Evaluation Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dates and brief account of the site visit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whom did the Committee meet?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Reports, documents, other data examined by the Committee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups of teaching and administrative staff and students interviewed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities visited by the External Evaluation Committee.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II. The Internal Evaluation Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please comment on:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriateness of sources and documentation used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality and completeness of evidence reviewed and provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent have the objectives of the internal evaluation process been met by the Department?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# A. Curriculum

*To be filled separately for each undergraduate, graduate and doctoral programme.*

## Approach

- What are the goals and objectives of the Curriculum? What is the plan for achieving them?
- How were the objectives decided? Which factors were taken into account? Were they set against appropriate standards? Did the unit consult other stakeholders?
- Is the curriculum consistent with the objectives of the Curriculum and the requirements of the society?
- How was the curriculum decided? Were all constituents of the Department, including students and other stakeholders, consulted?
- Has the unit set a procedure for the revision of the curriculum?

## Implementation

- How effectively is the Department’s goal implemented by the curriculum?
- How does the curriculum compare with appropriate, universally accepted standards for the specific area of study?
- Is the structure of the curriculum rational and clearly articulated?
- Is the curriculum coherent and functional?
- Is the material for each course appropriate and the time offered sufficient?
- Does the Department have the necessary resources and appropriately qualified and trained staff to implement the curriculum?

## Results

- How well is the implementation achieving the Department’s predefined goals and objectives?
- If not, why is it so? How is this problem dealt with?
- Does the Department understand why and how it achieved or failed to achieve these results?

## Improvement

- Does the Department know how the Curriculum should be improved?
- Which improvements does the Department plan to introduce?
### B. Teaching

**APPROACH:**
Does the Department have a defined pedagogic policy with regard to teaching approach and methodology?

Please comment on:
- Teaching methods used
- Teaching staff/student ratio
- Teacher/student collaboration
- Adequacy of means and resources
- Use of information technologies
- Examination system

**IMPLEMENTATION**

Please comment on:
- Quality of teaching procedures
- Quality and adequacy of teaching materials and resources.
- Quality of course material. Is it brought up to date?
- Linking of research with teaching
- Mobility of academic staff and students
- Evaluation by the students of (a) the teaching and (b) the course content and study material/resources

**RESULTS**

Please comment on:
- Efficacy of teaching.
- Discrepancies in the success/failure percentage between courses and how they are justified.
- Differences between students in (a) the time to graduation, and (b) final degree grades.
- Whether the Department understands the reasons of such positive or negative results?

**IMPROVEMENT**

- Does the Department propose methods and ways for improvement?
- What initiatives does it take in this direction?
### C. Research

*For each particular matter, please distinguish between under- and post-graduate level, if necessary.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPROACH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• What is the Department’s policy and main objective in research?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Has the Department set internal standards for assessing research?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPLEMENTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• How does the Department promote and support research?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Quality and adequacy of research infrastructure and support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Scientific publications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Research projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Research collaborations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• How successfully were the Department’s research objectives implemented?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Scientific publications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Research projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Research collaborations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Efficacy of research work. Applied results. Patents etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Is the Department’s research acknowledged and visible outside the Department?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rewards and awards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPROVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Improvements in research proposed by the Department, if necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Initiatives in this direction undertaken by the Department.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### D. All Other Services

*For each particular matter, please distinguish between under- and post-graduate level, if necessary.*

#### APPROACH

- How does the Department view the various services provided to the members of the academic community (teaching staff, students).
- Does the Department have a policy to simplify administrative procedures? Are most procedures processed electronically?
- Does the Department have a policy to increase student presence on Campus?

#### IMPLEMENTATION

- Organization and infrastructure of the Department’s administration (e.g. secretariat of the Department).
- Form and function of academic services and infrastructure for students (e.g. library, PCs and free internet access, student counseling, athletic-cultural activity etc.).

#### RESULTS

- Are administrative and other services adequate and functional?
- How does the Department view the particular results.

#### IMPROVEMENTS

- Has the Department identified ways and methods to improve the services provided?
- Initiatives undertaken in this direction.

### Collaboration with social, cultural and production organizations

Please, comment on quality, originality and significance of the Department’s initiatives.
### E. Strategic Planning, Perspectives for Improvement and Dealing with Potential Inhibiting Factors

For each particular matter, please distinguish between under- and post-graduate level, if necessary.

Please, comment on the Department’s:

- Potential inhibiting factors at State, Institutional and Departmental level, and proposals on ways to overcome them.
- Short-, medium- and long-term goals.
- Plan and actions for improvement by the Department/Academic Unit.
- Long-term actions proposed by the Department.
### F. Final Conclusions and recommendations of the EEC

*For each particular matter, please distinguish between under- and post-graduate level, if necessary.*

Conclusions and recommendations of the EEC on:

- the development of the Department to this date and its present situation, including explicit comments on good practices and weaknesses identified through the External Evaluation process and recommendations for improvement
- the Department’s readiness and capability to change/improve
- the Department’s quality assurance.
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